


**The Nation's Top Supreme Judge and
Six Other Big Shot Federal Judges resign.**


**Short-Seller & Cuban Refugee from Bay of Pigs Era
Asensio and his daughter Eva win \$100 million settlement.**

**TRUMP UNITES
ALL
AMERICANS!**



**Trump enforces the Judicial Conduct Act
and abolishes the terrible horrible DRE!**

MEET THE ACTORS, AUTHOR & THE STORY



www.judicialconduct.org

September 24, 2020 (Last edited April 3, 2024)

Meet Chief Justice John G. Roberts as the courtly and intellectually vain Emperor in fable titled "*The Emperor's New Clothes*," [See Endnote 1 for a history of this fable] and US Attorneys General William P. Barr as fake Wizard in the "*The Wizard of Oz*." Eva Asensio, the author's daughter, is the child who sees the Emperor is wearing no clothes and who learns that there is no Wizard just a Man Behind the Curtain. The Man Behind the Curtain has become a metaphor for a humbug. The phrase, "Emperor's new clothes", has become a standard metaphor for anything that smacks of pretentiousness, pomposity, social hypocrisy, collective denial, or hollow ostentatiousness.

The author, Manuel P. Asensio, is the *Pioneer of Activist Short Selling*.¹ This comic is based on the real-life story of Mr. Asensio's and Eva's battle with Roberts over the DRE². A federal judicial policy such as

1. According to an article published by the National Bureau of Economic Research, the nation's leading nonprofit economic research organization, titled, "How Constraining Are Limits to Arbitrage? Evidence from a Recent Financial Innovation."

2. The DRE is an abbreviation that represents a fabrication called the "*domestic relations [and domestic violence] exception to federal subject matter jurisdiction*." The federal judges wrongfully asserted that the DRE is "*a legitimate judicial doctrine of deference to federalism in family law*." DRE is a lawless fabrication in US courts; it is enforced through federal judicial corruption as if it were law. No such policy or legislation could be considered much less passed by the US legislative branch. It is a nationwide judicial fraud that violates the canons of truth and reason, and is diametrically opposed to the notions of democracy, the rule of law, or constitutional thought, including federalism. Whether the federal judges call the DRE a policy, a doctrine, or a ruling, it is still fraud on the Constitution against the American people.

the DRE that visibly and deliberately protects corrupt judging at the state level is the greatest threat to American civilization and democracy.³

In simple terms, a short-seller father who is not a lawyer or a politician cornered Roberts and the nation's 13 chief judges of its US Court of Appeals. They were forced to lie and cheat to prevent the evidence against them from being presented to a jury in the federal District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The health and strength of a democracy can be measured by evaluating the relationship between its judges and its citizens, and whether the relationship is broad, equal, and protected through laws and mutually binding consultations.⁴ There is a fatal flaw in the system because Roberts and the US Judicial Conference cannot be held accountable. Roberts' immunity from judicial conduct rules and laws⁵ allows Roberts to have absolute⁶ control of the Judicial Conference, the Administrative Office of the US Courts, the Federal Judiciary Center, and Federal Judiciary Center Foundation and to use this lawless control to protect deceitful judicial conduct at the state and federal level to illegal expand judicial power.⁷

3. Lubet, S. (1985). *Judicial Ethics and Private Lives*, Northwestern University School of Law, Northwestern University Law Review.


4. Tilly, C. (2007), *Democracy*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press



5. Chief Justice John Roberts both controls the Conference and is immune from disciplinary action under the Judicial Conduct Act. Placing the chief justice above the Judicial Conduct Act subverts democracy and endorses tyranny. Not surprisingly, the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability of the Judicial Conference (chaired by Judge Anthony J. Scirica) recently issued an opinion protecting Justice Roberts and confirming that he is untouchable under the Act. *See* Memorandum of Opinion, C.C.D. No. 19-01 (August 1, 2019).



6. Sir John Dalberg-Acton described this grave problem in his April 5, 1887 letter to Archbishop Mandell Creighton. The letter is about the corruption of the Popes of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In this letter Sir Dalberg-Acton famously writes, "power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Acton adds that a "man is hanged not because he can or cannot prove his claim to virtues, but because it can be proved that he has committed a particular crime." Thus, without 'fake' laws a chief judge is powerless against parents. Yet how can parents defend themselves from chief judges that creates 'fake' laws and sanction themselves. This is heresy. As Acton wrote "[t]here is no worse heresy than that the office sanctifies the holder of it."


7. "The legitimate powers of government extend to such acts only as are injurious to others. But it does me no injury for my neighbor to say there are twenty gods, or no god. It neither picks my pocket nor breaks my leg." Thomas Jefferson, Notes on the State of Virginia. Query XVII. Published in English in London in 1787. Published anonymously in Paris in 1785.

CHAPTER 1: THE ACT, THE SNOWBALL & EVA












CHAPTER 2: ROBERTS'S AND BARR'S DEAL










CHAPTER 3: BARR SPEECH SPEAK









CHAPTER 4: TINY ITTY-BITTY SUPREME COURT











CHAPTER 5: BARR MEETS A SHORT-SELLER





















CHAPTER 6: GO HIDE






CHAPTER 7: EVA AND TRUMP TAKE A WALK















CHAPTER 8: TRUMP & EVA AT SIGNING THE ACT







CHAPTER 9: THE PREJUDICES OF JUDGES















CHAPTER 10: THE ART OF DEAL









CHAPTER 11: DOUBLE-CROSSING BARR





CHAPTER 12: CARTER'S FRIENDS IN HEAVEN



1. Andersen's tale is based on a 1335 story from the *Libro de los ejemplos* (or *El Conde Lucanor*), a medieval Spanish collection of fifty-one cautionary tales with various sources such as Aesop and other classical writers and

Persian folktales, by Juan Manuel, Prince of Villena (1282–1348). Andersen did not know the Spanish original but read the tale in a German translation titled "*So ist der Lauf der Welt.*" In the source tale, a king is hoodwinked by weavers who claim to make a suit of clothes invisible to any man not the son of his presumed father; whereas Andersen altered the source tale to direct the focus on *courtly pride and intellectual vanity* rather than adulterous paternity. There is also an Indian version of the story, which appears in the *Līlāvatīsāra* by Jinaratna (1283), a summary of a now-lost anthology of fables, the *Nirvāṇalīlāvatī* by Jineśvara (1052). The dishonest merchant Dhana from Hastināpura swindles the King of Śrāvastī by offering to weave a supernatural garment that cannot be seen or touched by any person of illegitimate birth. When the king is supposedly wearing the garment, his whole court pretends to admire it. The king is then paraded about his city to show off the garment; when the common folk ask him if he has become a naked ascetic, he realizes the deception, but the swindler has already fled.